

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/648,596	08/25/2003	Chiao-Chung Huang	B-5221 621209-5	4262		
36716	7590 07/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
LADAS & PARRY			ALIE, GHASSEM			
5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90036-5679		JITE 2100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,,		3724			

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	·	XV
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/648,596	HUANG ET AL.	•
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ghassem Alie	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the second second	on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	of Appeal. To avoid at affidavit, or other evid a compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F f).	IRST REPLY WAS FILE	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montl earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. tatutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action, or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); etter form for appeal by materially r	OTE below); reducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)			(DTO) 00 ()
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). 		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	ay		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, I because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See the next page.	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

13. Other: _____.

Applicant's argument filed on 07/11/05 has been fully considered but it is not persuasive. Applicant's argument that replacing the vertically and horizontally mobile tool of Petroz by a tool which is fixed horizontally selective to the base is not a routine operation is not persuasive. Petroz does not expressly teach that the cutter is fixed horizontally selective to the base. However, Petroz teaches that cutter 40 is adjustable both horizontally and vertically. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to only move the cutter vertically and keep the cutter horizontally fixed selective to the base. Because, converting an adjustable device such as cutter 40 to an immobile and a non-adjustable device involves only routine skill in the art. In addition, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to omit the horizontal adjustment mechanism for the cutter and consequently keep the cutter immobile horizontally, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184.